

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,428 12/20/2004		Katrin Gisselfalt	1511-1036	6764
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 07/03/2007 IOMPSON		EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 23		:	GILLESPIE, BENJAMIN	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1711	
	·	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/518,428	GISSELFALT, KATRIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Benjamin J. Gillespie	1711		

		Benjamin J. Gillespie	1711	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REI	PLY FILED 12 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
I. ⊠ The this pla a F	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛭 b) 🗌		Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
nave beer under 37 s set forth in may reduce	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origr than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filir	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ig the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MFNTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. 🛭 Th (a)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See item 11</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	33(a)).		
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
hov The	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is prose status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Cla	nim(s) allowed: nim(s) objected to: nim(s) rejected:			
Cla	nim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ans not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation For reconsideration/other	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
<u>s</u>	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu ee Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowar	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ther:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	0	
			RABON SERGI PRIMARY EXAM	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the claimed linear polyurea is not anticipated by Flodin US 6,210,441 because although patentee discloses the same reactants and stoichiometry, there is no teaching of the same claimed methodology. However, the methodology of claim 1 is based on after final amendments, which raise new issues and will not be entered, therefore applicants' arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

RABON SERGENT